

Strong Uniqueness for Chebyshev Approximation by Reciprocals of Polynomials on $[0, \infty)$

DARRELL SCHMIDT

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Oakland University,
Rochester, Michigan 48063*

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received July 2, 1979

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C_0^+[0, \infty) = \{f \in C[0, \infty) : f(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$ and $R_n = \{1/p : p \in \Pi_n \text{ and } p(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in [0, \infty)\}$, where Π_n denotes the set of all real algebraic polynomials of degree n or less. For $g \in C_0^+[0, \infty)$, define $\|g\| = \sup\{|g(x)| : x \in [0, \infty)\}$. Uniform approximation of functions in $C_0^+[0, \infty)$ by reciprocals of polynomials has been the topic of several recent investigations (see [1, 2, 5] and the references of [5]). In this setting, it is known that best approximations exist and are unique [1, 2] and the following characterization theorem holds [2].

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in C_0^+[0, \infty) \setminus R_n$ with $n \geq 1$, and let $1/p^* \in R_n$. Then $1/p^*$ is a best approximation to f from R_n if and only if*

(i) (standard alternation) *there exist $n + 2$ points $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1}$ such that $|f(x_i) - 1/p^*(x_i)| = \|f - 1/p^*\|$, $i = 0, \dots, n + 1$, and $f(x_i) - 1/p^*(x_i) = -(f(x_{i-1}) - 1/p^*(x_{i-1}))$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, or*

(ii) (nonstandard alternation) *$\hat{c}p^* \leq n - 1$ and there exist $n + 1$ points $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ such that $f(x_i) - 1/p^*(x_i) = (-1)^i \|f - 1/p^*\|$, $i = 0, \dots, n$, where $\hat{c}p^*$ denotes the degree of p^* .*

In the remainder of this note $1/p_f$ shall denote the best approximation to $f \in C_0^+[0, \infty)$ from R_n .

In this note we study strong uniqueness of $1/p_f$ and point Lipschitz continuity of the best approximation operator. In Brink [1], it was shown that if $\hat{c}p_f = n$, then $1/p_f$ is a strongly unique best approximation to f from R_n , that is, there is a constant $\gamma_f > 0$ such that

$$\|f - 1/p\| \geq \|f - 1/p_f\| + \gamma_f \|1/p - 1/p_f\|$$

for all $1/p \in R_n$. A companion result is that $1/p_f$ is point Lipschitz continuous at f , that is, there is a constant $\lambda_f > 0$ such that

$$\|1/p_g - 1/p_f\| \leq \lambda_f \|g - f\|$$

for all $g \in C_0^+[0, \infty)$. In Dunham and Taylor [5] it was shown that if $\hat{c}p_f < n - 1$, then $1/p_f$ is discontinuous at f . Thus if $\hat{c}p_f < n - 1$, neither strong uniqueness nor point Lipschitz continuity can hold. In [5], however, it was proven that $1/p_f$ is continuous at f if $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$. Left open are the questions of strong uniqueness and point Lipschitz continuity of $1/p_f$ at f when $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$. In this note, we show that if $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$, then $1/p_f$ is strongly unique and point Lipschitz continuous at f .

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The lemmas in this section are motivated by a characterization of strong unicity constants for polynomial approximation due to Cline [4]. The results of this section will subsequently be used to establish the strong unicity result for best reciprocal approximation.

Let $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 \cdots < x_n$ be fixed, and for $t > x_n$, let

$$Q_t = \{p \in \Pi_n : (-1)^{n-i} p(x_i) \leq 1, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \text{ and } p(t) \geq -1\}. \quad (2.1)$$

By the assertion on p. 64 of Rice [6] (Note the misprint: = should be replaced by \geq .) and the fact that all norms on a finite dimensional vector space are equivalent, Q_t is a compact subset of Π_n , where Π_n carries the Euclidean norm of the coefficient vectors. For $x \geq 0$, let

$$M(t, x) = \max\{|p(x)| : p \in Q_t\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We express $M(t, x)$ in terms of $n + 2$ interpolating polynomials. Define $q \in \Pi_n$ by

$$q(x_i) = (-1)^{n-i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n,$$

and for $j = 0, \dots, n$, define $q_j(t, \cdot) \in \Pi_n$ by

$$q_j(t, x_i) = (-1)^{n-i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j,$$

and $q_j(t, t) = -1$.

LEMMA 2. For $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n < t$ and $x \geq 0$, $M(t, x) = \max\{|q(x)|; |q_j(t, x)|, j = 0, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Write $t = x_{n+1}$ and note that $p(t) \geq -1$ is equivalent to $(-1)^{n-(n+1)} p(x_{n+1}) \leq 1$. If $(-1)^{n-(n+1)} p(x_{n+1}) > 0$, q would have $n + 1$ zeros. Thus $(-1)^{n-(n+1)} q(x_{n+1}) \leq 1$ and $q \in Q_t$. Similarly, $q_j(t, \cdot) \in Q_t$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. Thus $M(t, x) \geq \max\{|q(x)|; |q_j(t, x)|, j = 0, \dots, n\}$.

Let $p \in Q_t$ satisfy $|p(x)| = M(t, x)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{i: (-1)^{n-i} p(x_i) = 1\}$. We show that \mathcal{A} contains at least $n + 1$ indices. Suppose that \mathcal{A} contains less than $n + 1$ indices. Then there is an $h \in \Pi_n$ such that $h(x) = \text{sgn } p(x)$ and $h(x_i) = -(-1)^{n-i}$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $p_\varepsilon = p + \varepsilon h$. For $i \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$(-1)^{n-i} p_\varepsilon(x_i) = 1 - \varepsilon < 1,$$

and for $i \notin \mathcal{A}$,

$$(-1)^{n-i} p_\varepsilon(x_i) = (-1)^{n-i} p(x_i) - \varepsilon (-1)^{n-i} h(x_i) < 1$$

for ε sufficiently small. Thus $p_\varepsilon \in Q_t$ for ε sufficiently small. Furthermore,

$$|p_\varepsilon(x)| = |p(x)| + \varepsilon > M(t, x),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{A} contains at least $n + 1$ indices, and as a result, p is q or one of the $q_j(t, \cdot)$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. Hence, $M(t, x) = \max\{|q(x)|; |q_j(t, x)|, j = 0, \dots, n\}$.

The next lemma presents an asymptotic estimate for $M(t, x)$.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ be fixed. Then there are positive numbers A , X , and T such that $M(t, x) \leq Ax^n$ for all $t \geq T$ and $x \geq X$.

Proof. Let $q(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$. Since q has n zeros and is not identically zero, $\partial q = n$. In fact, $a_n > 0$. Select $X_1 > 0$ such that $x \geq X_1$ implies

$$a_n x^n / 2 < a_n x^n + \dots + a_0.$$

Now let $q_j(t, x) = a_n^j(t) x^n + a_{n-1}^j(t) x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0^j(t)$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. By the interpolatory conditions defining $q_j(t, \cdot)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & \dots & t^n \\ 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{j-1} & \dots & x_{j-1}^n \\ 1 & x_{j+1} & \dots & x_{j+1}^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0^j(t) \\ a_1^j(t) \\ \vdots \\ a_n^j(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ (-1)^n \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-j+1} \\ (-1)^{n-j-1} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Using Cramer's rule and evaluating all determinants across the first row, $a_k^j(t) = r_k^j(t) / s^j(t)$, where $r_k^j \in \Pi_n$, $k = 0, \dots, n$, and $s^j \in \Pi_n$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Moreover, the coefficient of t^n in s^j is given by a Vandermonde determinant and therefore is nonzero, and the coefficient of t^n in r_n^j is zero. That is, $\hat{c}^j s^j = n$ and $\hat{c} r_n^j \leq n - 1, j = 0, \dots, n$. Thus we may select positive numbers D and T such that $|a_n^j(t)| < a_n/4$ and $|a_k^j(t)| < D, k = 0, \dots, n - 1, j = 0, \dots, n$, for all $t \geq T$. Finally, choose $X > X_1$ such that

$$a_n x^n/4 + D(x^{n-1} + \dots + 1) < a_n x^n/2$$

for $x \geq X$. Now for $t \geq T$ and $x \geq X$,

$$|q_j(t, x)| < a_n x^n/4 + D(x^{n-1} + \dots + 1) < a_n x^n/2 < q(x),$$

$j = 0, \dots, n$, and thus $M(t, x) = q(x)$. Since $X > 0$ and $\hat{c}q = n$, we may now select $A > 0$ such that $|q(x)| \leq Ax^n$ for $x \geq X$, and Lemma 3 now follows from Lemma 2.

The final lemma in this section establishes a bound on $M(t, x)$ for t sufficiently large and x bounded.

LEMMA 4. *Let $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ be fixed. Given $\beta > 0$ there are positive numbers m and T such that $M(t, x) \leq m$ for all $t \geq T$ and $x \in [0, \beta]$.*

Proof. Using (2.3) and the succeeding argument, there are constants D and T such that $|q_j(t, x)| \leq \alpha^n + D(\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$ for $x \in [0, \beta]$ and $t \geq T$, where $\alpha = \max(1, \beta)$. Letting $m = \max\{\max_{x \in [0, \beta]} |q(x)|, \alpha^n + D(\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + 1)\}$, Lemma 4 follows.

3. STRONG UNIQUENESS WHEN $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$

In this section, we show that if $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$, then $1/p_f$ is a strongly unique best approximation to f from R_n . It will then follow that $1/p_f$ satisfies a point Lipschitz condition at f in this case.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f \in C_0^+ [0, \infty) \setminus R_n$ and let $1/p_f$ be the best uniform approximation to f from R_n . If $\hat{c}p_f = n - 1$, then there is a constant $\gamma_f > 0$ such that*

$$\|f - 1/p\| \geq \|f - 1/p_f\| + \gamma_f \|1/p - 1/p_f\| \tag{3.1}$$

for all $1/p \in R_n$.

Proof. Suppose there is no $\gamma_f > 0$ such that (3.1) is valid for all $1/p \in R_n$. Then there is a sequence $\{1/p_k\}$ in R_n such that

$$\gamma_k = \frac{\|f - 1/p_k\| - \|f - 1/p_f\|}{\|1/p_k - 1/p_f\|} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\{\|1/p_k\|\}$ is bounded. Otherwise, for a subsequence $\{1/p_r\}$, we would have $\|f - 1/p_r\| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\gamma_r \geq \frac{\|f - 1/p_r\| - \|f - 1/p_f\|}{\|f - 1/p_r\| + \|f - 1/p_f\|} \rightarrow 1$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which is contrary to (3.2). Let $\|f - 1/p_k\| \leq M$ for all k . Then

$$0 \leq \frac{\|f - 1/p_k\| - \|f - 1/p_f\|}{M + \|f - 1/p_f\|} \leq \gamma_k$$

and by (3.2), $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f - 1/p_k\| = \|f - 1/p_f\|$. By the proof of Theorem 4 in Dunham and Taylor [5], $p_k \rightarrow p_f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the sense of coefficient convergence.

Since $\partial p_f = n - 1$, $1/p_f$ is the best uniform approximation to f from R_{n-1} and, by Brink's result [1], is strongly unique relative to R_{n-1} . By (3.2) we may then assume that $\partial p_k = n$ for all k . Since $\partial p_k > \partial p_f$ and $p_k(x) > 0$ and $p_f(x) > 0$ for $x \in [0, \infty)$, there is a $t_k > 0$ such that $p_k(x) > p_f(x)$ for all $x \geq t_k$. In addition, we choose t_k so that

$$t_k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.3}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Whether $f - 1/p_f$ demonstrates the standard or the nonstandard alternation in Theorem 1, there are $n + 1$ points $0 \leq x_0 < \dots < x_n$ such that

$$(-1)^{n-i}(f(x_i) - 1/p_f(x_i)) = \|f - 1/p_f\|, \tag{3.4}$$

$i = 0, \dots, n$. For $i = 0, \dots, n$,

$$(-1)^{n-i}(f(x_i) - 1/p_k(x_i)) \leq \|f - 1/p_k\|, \tag{3.5}$$

$i = 0, \dots, n$. Subtracting (3.4) from (3.5) and multiplying by $p_f(x_i) p_k(x_i)$, we obtain

$$(-1)^{n-i}(p_k(x_i) - p_f(x_i)) \leq K\Delta_k, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\Delta_k = \|f - 1/p_k\| - \|f - 1/p_f\|$ and $K = \sup\{p_f(x_i) p_k(x_i): i = 0, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Furthermore,

$$p_k(t_k) - p_f(t_k) > 0 > -K\Delta_k. \tag{3.7}$$

By (2.1), (2.2), (3.6), and (3.7),

$$|p_k(x) - p_f(x)| \leq K\Delta_k M(t_k, x) \tag{3.8}$$

for all $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 3 select positive constants A , X , and T such that

$$M(t, x) \leq Ax^n \tag{3.9}$$

for $x \geq X$ and $t \geq T$. Let $p_f(x) = a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$, where $a_{n-1} > 0$, and $p_k(x) = a_n^k x^n + a_{n-1}^k x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0^k$, where $a_n^k > 0$ and $a_n^k \rightarrow 0$ and $a_j^k \rightarrow a_j$, $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Select $\beta > X$ such that

$$a_{n-1} - \frac{|a_{n-2}| + 1}{x} - \dots - \frac{|a_0| + 1}{x^{n-1}} > \frac{a_{n-1}}{2}$$

for $x \geq \beta$. Now by (3.3), we may select k_0 such that for $k \geq k_0$, $t_k \geq T$, $a_{n-1}^k > 3a_{n-1}/4$, and $|a_j^k - a_j| < 1$, $j = 0, \dots, n-2$. Thus for $x \geq \beta$ and $k \geq k_0$, $|a_j^k| < |a_j| + 1$, $j = 0, \dots, n-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_f(x) &\geq x^{n-1} \left(a_{n-1} - \frac{|a_{n-2}| + 1}{x} - \dots - \frac{|a_0| + 1}{x^{n-1}} \right) \\ &> a_{n-1} x^{n-1} / 2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_k(x) &\geq a_{n-1}^k x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0^k \\ &\geq x^{n-1} \left(\frac{3a_{n-1}}{4} - \frac{|a_{n-2}| + 1}{x} - \dots - \frac{|a_0| + 1}{x^{n-1}} \right) > a_{n-1} x^{n-1} / 4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{p_f(x)} - \frac{1}{p_k(x)} \right| &= \frac{|p_k(x) - p_f(x)|}{p_f(x) p_k(x)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{8AKx^{2-n}}{a_{n-1}^2} \right) \Delta_k \leq \left(\frac{8AK\beta^{2-n}}{a_{n-1}^2} \right) \Delta_k \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for $x \geq \beta$, $k \geq k_0$, and $n \geq 2$. If $n = 1$, then $\hat{c}p_f = 1$, and the case under consideration does not apply (see [5]).

Since $p_f(x) > 0$ for $x \in [0, \beta]$ and $p_k \rightarrow p_f$ uniformly on $[0, \beta]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, there are numbers $s > 0$ and $k_1 \geq k_0$ such that $p_f(x) \geq s$ and $p_k(x) \geq s$ for $x \in [0, \beta]$ and $k \geq k_1$. By Lemma 4 and (3.3) there are numbers $m > 0$ and $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that $M(t_k, x) \leq m$ for all $x \in [0, \beta]$ and $k \geq k_2$. Thus if $k \geq k_2$, then by (3.8)

$$\left| \frac{1}{p_f(x)} - \frac{1}{p_k(x)} \right| = \frac{|p_k(x) - p_f(x)|}{p_f(x) p_k(x)} \leq \frac{mK\Delta_k}{s^2} \tag{3.11}$$

for $x \in [0, \beta]$. By (3.10) and (3.11)

$$\|1/p_k - 1/p_f\| \leq M(\|f - 1/p_k\| - \|f - 1/p_f\|)$$

for $k \geq k_2$, where $M = \max\{8AK\beta^{2-n}/a_{n-1}^2, mK/s^2\}$. This contradicts (3.2), and Theorem 5 is proven.

We conclude this note with the companion point Lipschitz result. The proof is identical to the proof of the theorem on p. 82 of Cheney [3] with $\lambda_f = 2/\gamma_f$ and is omitted.

THEOREM 6. *Let $f \in C_0^+[0, \infty) \setminus R_n$ and let $1/p_f$ be the best uniform approximation to f from R_n . Then there is a constant $\lambda_f > 0$ such that*

$$\|1/p_k - 1/p_f\| \leq \lambda_f \|g - f\|$$

for all $g \in C_0^+[0, \infty)$, where $1/p_k$ denotes the best uniform approximation to g from R_n .

REFERENCES

1. D. BRINK, "Tchebycheff Approximation by Reciprocals of Polynomials on $[0, \infty)$," Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, 1972.
2. D. BRINK AND G. D. TAYLOR, Chebyshev approximation by reciprocals of polynomials on $[0, \infty)$, *J. Approx. Theory* **16** (1976), 142-148.
3. E. W. CHENEY, "Introduction to Approximation Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
4. A. K. CLINE, Lipschitz conditions on uniform approximation operators, *J. Approx. Theory* **8** (1973), 160-172.
5. C. B. DUNHAM AND G. D. TAYLOR, Continuity of best reciprocal polynomial approximation on $[0, \infty)$, *J. Approx. Theory* **30** (1980), 71-79.
6. J. R. RICE, "Time Approximation of Functions," Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.